

रिजरटर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

ध

क फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(ST)91/Ahd-South/2018-19

Stay Appl.No. /2018-19

ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-061-2018-19

दिनाँक Date: 14-09-2018 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue

श्री उमा शंकर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

Passed by Shri. Uma Shanker, Commissioner (Appeals)

ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. CGST-VI/Ref-29/Kaizen/17-18 दिनाँक: 05.06.2018 issued by Assistant Commissioner, Div-VI, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South

23/10/2018

अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent Kaizen Institute Pvt Itd

Kaizen Institute Pvt Itd Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person a aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन

## Revision application to Government of India:

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप—धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid:

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

(ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।



(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

- (b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- (ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।
- (c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केंडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियक्त किए गए हो।

- (d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec. 109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.
- (1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए—8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल—आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो—दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35—इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर—6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/— फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/— की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-

Under Section 35B/35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

- (क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय . उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण <u>(सिस्टेट)</u> की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ—20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद—380016
- (a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

- (4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि—1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथारिथिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।
- One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.
- (5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपीलों के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है.

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;

(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules. इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के

इस इस आदेश के प्रांते अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहा शुल्क जयवा शुल्य वा पान वा पान कर के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती हैं।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty alone is in dispute."

## ORDER IN APPEAL

This appeal is filed by M/s. Kaizen Institute (India) Private Limited, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, 207, Abhijit Complex, Mithakali Cross Road, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad 380 009 [for short – 'appellant'] against OIO No. CGST-VI/Ref 29/Kaizen/17-18 dated 5.6.2018 passed by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division VI, Ahmedabad South [for short – 'adjudicating authority'].

- 2. Briefly, the facts are that the appellant filed a refund claim for Rs. 5,78,850/- on 28.2.2018 claiming that the said payment of service tax on advance received was pending for adjustment as on 30.6.2017 due to change in the tax regime and that there was no provision for adjustment for service tax against GST.
- 3. The adjudicating authority rejected the refund citing the following reasons:
  - that the appellant failed to submit any evidence to the fact that they had discharged their GST liability;
  - that the appellant failed to submit any proof that the burden of service of which they had sought refund was borne by them and that they had not recovered the said amount of service tax from the service recipient.
- 4. The appellant feeling aggrieved, has filed this appeal raising the following grounds:
  - that the adjudicating authority erred in rejecting the refund;
  - that they were not provided adequate opportunity of being heard thus violating the principles of natural justice;
  - that they would like to rely on the case of Uma Nath Pandey [2009(3) TMI 526 SC, M/s. Wisdon Guards P Ltd [2018(6) TMI 1254-CESTAT]; Vijay Tanks & Vessels (P) Ltd and Target Corporation India P Ltd [2018(5) TMI 1124 CESTAT Bang.].
- Personal hearing the case was held on 12.9.2018 wherein CA Nisha Vora, appeared on behalf of the appellant and reiterated the grounds of appeal. The Chartered Accountant further informed that the refund was rejected without giving a personal hearing of a show cause notice.
- I have gone through the facts of the case the grounds of appeal and the oral averments made during the course of personal hearing. I find that the appeal has been filed beyond the stipulated period and the appellant has sought condonation of delay of 16 days. In terms of proviso to section 85(3A) of the Finance Act, 1994, I condone the delay. The only issue to be decided in this appeal is whether the appellant is eligible for refund or otherwise.
- 7. However, I find that the appellant rather than contesting the matter on merits has vociferously stated that the principles of natural justice was not followed in his case.
- 8. Principles of natural justice, constitute the following:

Natural Justice recognizes three principles:

- (i) Nemo debet essc judex in propria causa [meaning nobody shall be a judge in his own cause or in a cause in which he is interested]
- (ii) Audi alterem partem, [meaning to hear the other side] and finally
- (iii) Speaking orders or reasoned decisions.



The appellant has stated that no personal hearing was granted and that no show cause notice was issued before rejecting his refund claim. Requirements of a fair hearing has two elements- first that opportunity to be heard must be given and second that such opportunity must be real and not illusory or make belief. The impugned order therefore cannot be upheld since it seriously suffers on account of its failure to adhere to the principles of natural justice.

- In view of the foregoing, it would be in the interest of justice if the matter is 7. remanded back with a direction to the [a]appellant to provide all the documents to the adjudicating authority which has been sought from him and which he is has not produced till date within a month from the receipt of this order; and [b] the adjudicating authority to decide the claim within fifteen days from the receipt of all the documents from the appellant. Needless to state, the adjudicating authority will adhere to principles of natural justice while deciding the matter also keeping in mind that delayed refunds unnecessarily create interest demand on the exchequer.
- अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है। 8.

The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms. 8.

आयुक्त (अपील्स)

Date 14.9.2018

Attested .

(Vinod Lukose) Superintendent (Appeal), Central Tax, Ahmedabad.

By RPAD.

To,

M/s. Kaizen Institute (India) Private Limited, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor, 207, Abhijit Complex, Mithakali Cross Road, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad 380 009

Copy to:-

1. The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.

2. The Principal Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad South.

3. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, Central Tax Division-VI, Ahmedabad South.

4. The Assistant Commissioner, System, Central Tax, Ahmedabad South.

5. Guard File.

6. P.A.